



1 RENE L. VALLADARES
2 Federal Public Defender
3 Nevada State Bar No. 11479
4 C.B. KIRSCHNER
5 Assistant Federal Public Defender
6 Pennsylvania State Bar No. 92998
7 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
9 (702) 388-6577
10 (702) 388-5819 (fax)
11 CB_Kirschner@fd.org

12 Attorney for Dustin Redenius

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15 DUSTIN OWEN REDENIUS,

16 Petitioner,

17 v.

18 JACK PALMER, WARDEN, et al.,

19 Respondents.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00538-RCJ-VPC

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
REPLY TO ANSWER**

(Second Request)

20 Petitioner, Dustin Redenius, by and through counsel, C.B. Kirschner,
21 Assistant Federal Public Defender, moves this Court for an extension of time of
22 sixty (60) days from July 3, 2017, to and including September 1, 2017, to file a Reply
23 to Respondents' Answer to the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. This
24 motion is based upon the attached points and authorities and all pleadings and
25 papers on file herein.

26 ///

///

///

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1
2 1. Dustin Redenius filed his pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus
3 on October 14, 2014. ECF No. 2. On October 2, 2015, Mr. Redenius, through
4 counsel, filed an Amended Petition. ECF No. 16. Respondents filed an Answer to the
5 Amended Petition on April 3, 2017. ECF No. 47. Mr. Redenius's Reply to
6 Respondents' Answer is currently due July 3, 2017. Mr. Redenius now requests an
7 additional sixty (60) days to file his Reply, until September 1, 2017. This is the
8 second request for an extension of time.

9 2. The additional period of time is necessary in order to effectively
10 represent Mr. Redenius. This motion is filed in the interests of justice and not for
11 the purposes of unnecessary delay.

12 3. Counsel has been working diligently on this matter, however, her
13 current caseload makes it necessary to request additional time in order to complete
14 the Reply. Counsel had an argument before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on
15 June 15, 2017, in *Rangel v. Neven*, case no. 16-15232, which required extensive
16 preparation. Additionally, counsel filed a Motion for Stay in *Flowers v. Nevada*, case
17 no. 2:16-cv-01304-APG-GWF, on June 8, 2017; a Reply to Answer in *Young v.*
18 *Williams*, case no. 2:12-cv-00524-RFB-NJK, on June 26, 2017; and another Reply to
19 Answer in *DeCastro v. Legrand*, case no. 3:14-cv-00529-RCJ-WGC, on July 3, 2017.

20 4. Counsel is currently working on numerous other cases with upcoming
21 filing deadlines including a Reply to Response in *Ennis v. Nevada*, case no.
22 C110002, due July 11, 2017; Opposition to Motion to Dismiss in *Melendez v. Neven*,
23 case no. 2:16-cv-01003-JAD-CWH, due July 24, 2017; Reply to Answer in *Moore v.*
24 *LeGrand*, case no. 3:13-cv-00390-LRH-WGC, due July 31, 2017; and Amended
25 Petitions in *Posey v. Nevens*, case no. 2:15-cv-1482-RFB-GWF, due August 10, 2017;
26 *Page v. Warden*, case no. 3:16-cv-00298-MMD-VPC, due August 14, 2017; *Yaag v.*

1 *LeGrand*, case no. 3:14-cv-00295-MMD-WGC, due August 28, 2017; *Dominguez v.*
2 *Baker*, case no. 3:17-cv-00053-HDM-WGC, due August 21, 2017; *Cross v. Williams*,
3 case no. 2:17-cv-00290-JCM-VCF, due September 5, 2017; and *Norton v. Williams*,
4 case no. 2:16-cv-00894-RFB-CWH, due September 11, 2017

5 5. On June 29, 2017, Deputy Attorney General Matthew Johnson was
6 contacted via email and stated that he did not object to the extension, but the lack
7 of objection should not be construed as a waiver of any issues or defenses.

8 6. For the above stated reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this
9 Court grant the request for an extension of time of sixty (60) days and order the
10 Reply to be filed on or before September 1, 2017.

11
12 Dated this 3rd day of July, 2017.

13 Respectfully submitted,
14 RENE L. VALLADARES
15 Federal Public Defender

16 /s/ C.B. Kirschner
17 C.B. KIRSCHNER
18 Assistant Federal Public Defender

19
20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED:

22 
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24 DATED: 1-18-2018
25
26